Antimicrobial Susceptibilities of Clinical *Acinetobacter baumannii* Isolates With Different Genotypes
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**Background:** The treatment of *Acinetobacter baumannii* infections is difficult. Carbapenems, sulbactam, and colistin are the most effective antibiotics.

**Objectives:** The aim of this study was to evaluate the susceptibilities of genotypically different *A. baumannii* isolates to sulbactam, amikacin, netilmicin, meropenem, tigecycline and colistin.

**Patients and Methods:** Isolates from various clinical samples of patients with hospital-acquired infections that were identified by the VITEK 2 Compact system in our hospital’s microbiology laboratory between January 2010 and March 2012 were included in the study. To determine genetic relatedness of the isolates, the rep-PCR method was used. The broth microdilution method was used for amikacin, netilmicin, meropenem and colistin, while E-test was used for sulbactam and tigecycline.

**Results:** Among the 300 isolates, 30 were found to be genotypically different and were evaluated in terms of their antimicrobial susceptibilities. All isolates were susceptible to colistin. The susceptibility rates were 66.6%, 50%, 36.6%, 30%, and 10% for netilmicin, tigecycline, sulbactam, amikacin, and meropenem, respectively. For carbapenem resistant isolates, the susceptibility rates were 66.6%, 51.8%, 33.3%, and 25.9% for netilmicin, tigecycline, sulbactam, and amikacin, respectively. The sulbactam minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) 50 and MIC 90 were 8 μg/mL and 12 μg/mL, respectively.

**Conclusions:** In this study, it was concluded that determining the cut-off value for MIC breakpoints for sulbactam alone has a critical impact on the susceptibility results.
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1. **Background**
   
   Nosocomial infections cause prolonged duration of hospitalization and increased treatment costs with high morbidity and mortality. *Acinetobacter* species have become the most common etiology of hospital acquired infections due to the present frequent use of wide spectrum antibiotics (1). *Acinetobacter* species cause pneumonia, skin and wound infections, bacteremia, and urinary tract infections while they have shown resistance to various antibiotics (2). Infections due to resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* isolates cause difficulties in treatment. Carbapenems, sulbactam and colistin seem to be the most effective antibiotics for treatment (3).

   Sulbactam shows high efficacy against *Acinetobacter* species *in vitro* and *in vivo* (4-6). Previously in Turkey, sulbactam could not be found alone; therefore sefoperezzone and sulbactam combination was widely used for the treatment of multi-resistant *Acinetobacter* species. Today, however, commercially available sulbactam antibiotics are being used thus, the determination of the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of sulbactam is necessary.

2. **Objectives**
   
   The aim of this study was to determine the susceptibility rate of genotypically different *A. baumannii* species, isolated from various clinical samples of patients with hospital acquired infections, to sulbactam, amikacin, netilmicin, meropenem, tigecycline and colistin.

3. **Patients and Methods**

   **3.1. Bacterial Isolates**
   
   The isolates are defined as the agent of hospital acquired infections, if they emerged after 72 hours of hospitalization. All isolates were obtained from patients at intensive care units. In total, 300 *A. baumannii* were isolated from various clinical samples and detected by the VITEK 2 Compact system (BioMerieux, France) at the microbiology laboratory of our hospital between January 2010 and March 2012. Thirty genotypically different isolates were included in this study. The isolates were stored at -80°C in brain heart infusion broth including 10% glycerol un-
3.2. Antimicrobial Susceptibility

The susceptibilities of genotypically different isolates (similarity < 95% and > 2 band difference), to sulbactam, amikacin, netilmicin, meropenem, tigecycline and colistin were tested. Antibiotic susceptibilities were studied using the E-test method for sulbactam and tigecycline (BioMerieux, France), while the bouillon broth microdilution method was used for meropenem (Tumekip, Turkey), colistin, amikacin and netilmicin (Sigma Aldrich, USA). For the E-test method, bacterial suspensions with turbidity equivalent to 0.5 McFarland standard were prepared and spread onto Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA) medium (Himedia, Mumbai, India), followed by the addition of E-test strips. After the plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours, the E-test MIC value was read as the concentration at which the growth on the plate intersected the E-test strip. According to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) criteria; if the E-test MIC value of tigecycline was ≥ 8 μg/mL, it should be accepted as resistant, if the value was 4-6 μg/mL, it should be accepted as intermediate, and if the value was ≤ 2 μg/mL it should be accepted as susceptible (8).

The cut-off value for sulbactam alone was not defined for A. baumannii isolates in the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) document. Therefore, we determined the cut-off value of sulbactam considering the values of ampicillin/sulbactam for A. baumannii isolates in the CLSI document. Accordingly, if the value was ≤ 4 μg/mL, it was accepted as susceptible, if the value was 8 μg/mL it was accepted as intermediate and if the value was ≥ 16 μg/mL it was accepted as resistant. For the bouillon broth micro dilution method, cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton Broth (BBL, Becton Dickinson, USA) was used according to CLSI recommendations (9). Serial dilutions of each of the four antibiotics (32-0.062 μg/mL) were prepared. A. baumannii isolates were suspended to 0.5 McFarland turbidity and added to micro dilution plates with 96 wells. Microplates were incubated at 35°C for 20-24 hours. Escherichia coli (ATCC 25922) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC 27853) strains were used as quality control strains for all MIC determinations. The lowest antimicrobial drug concentration at which there was no growth was considered as the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC). The results were interpreted according to CLSI criteria (9).

4. Results

Among the 300 A. baumannii isolates the susceptibilities of 30 isolates were determined as genotypically different (Figure 1). Twenty-one (70%) of the 30 genotypically different A. baumannii isolates included in the study were isolated from tracheal aspirates, one (3.3%) from blood, four (13.3%) from the catheter, one (3.3%) from a wound infection, two (6.6%) from urine samples, and one (3.3%) from peritoneal fluid samples. All antimicrobial susceptibility results of A. baumannii isolates are shown in Table 1. The susceptibility results of 27 carbapenem resistant isolate (including the one with intermediate susceptibility) to antibiotics other than carbapenem are shown in Table 2. All isolates were determined as colistin sensitive. The sensitivity rates for netilmicin, tigecycline, sulbactam, amikacin, and meropenem were 66.6%, 50%, 36.6%, 30% and 10%, respectively. The rates determined for carbapenem resistant isolates were 66.6%, 51.8%, 33.3%, and 25.9% for netilmicin, tigecycline, sulbactam, and amikacin, respectively. The MIC50 values for netilmicin, tigecycline, sulbactam, amikacin, meropenem and colistin were 4 μg/mL, 3 μg/mL, 8 μg/mL, 128 μg/mL, 64 μg/mL, and 1 μg/mL, respectively, while the MIC90 values were 512 μg/mL, 8 μg/mL, 12 μg/mL, 1024 μg/mL, 128 μg/mL, and 1 μg/mL, respectively.

| Table 1. The Antimicrobial Susceptibility Results of A. baumannii Isolates |
|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                            | Sensitive ($) | Intermediate ($) | Resistant ($)   |
| Colistin                   | 30 (100)      | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)           |
| Netilmicin                 | 20 (66.6)     | 2 (6.6)         | 8 (26.6)        |
| Tigecycline                | 15 (50)       | 13 (43.3)       | 2 (6.6)         |
| Sulbactam                  | 11 (36.6)     | 11 (36.6)       | 8 (26.6)        |
| Amikacin                   | 9 (30)        | 3 (10)          | 18 (60)         |
| Meropenem                  | 3 (10)        | 1 (3.3)         | 26 (86.6)       |

| Table 2. The Susceptibility Results of 27 Carbapenem Resistant Isolates to Antibiotics Other Than Meropenem |
|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                            | Sensitive ($) | Intermediate ($) | Resistant ($)   |
| Colistin                   | 27 (100)      | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)           |
| Netilmicin                 | 18 (66.6)     | 2 (7.4)         | 8 (25.9)        |
| Tigecycline                | 14 (51.8)     | 11 (40.7)       | 2 (7.4)         |
| Sulbactam                  | 9 (33.3)      | 10 (37)         | 8 (29.6)        |
| Amikacin                   | 7 (25.9)      | 2 (7.4)         | 18 (66.6)       |
A. baumannii has become an important health problem due to the fact that it is a pathogen that causes hospital acquired epidemics and due to treatment failures caused by multiple antibiotic resistances (2, 10). The same bacteria obtained from different patients in a clinic shows that there is cross contamination between the patients originating from the same source. In such a case, the source of the microorganism causing the hospital acquired infection must be investigated. Through determination of the clonal relationship between the isolates, the source of the infection, the porter, and the way of spread can be revealed, and proper prevention methods can be chosen.

The aim of genotyping studies is to determine whether there is an epidemiological relationship between isolated and genotyped strains and to grade this relationship if one exists. Rep-PCR is performed with primers specific to a repeated sequence in the genome and can be used in phylogenetic relationship studies for providing reliable results and repeatability (11). In a study by Caretto et al., genotyping of Acinetobacter isolates was carried out and they emphasized that rep-PCR is an expensive but a rapidly performed and repeatable method (12). Therefore in this study rep-PCR method (Diversilab, BioMérieux, France) was used for genotyping the isolates as it is a rapid and easy to use method. Only genotypically different isolates were evaluated for antimicrobial susceptibilities as the genotypically related isolates could give the same susceptibility patterns. Therefore, in the current study the antimicrobial susceptibilities were evaluated for only 30 isolates that were genotypically different and not for the 300 isolates that were collected in a two year period.

Monotherapy with sulbactam is not recommended for severe Acinetobacter infections. However, Wood et al., reported that the use of sulbactam for the treatment of 14 patients with ventilator associated pneumonia caused by multi drug resistant Acinetobacter was successful and that there was no difference between 63 patients treated with sulbactam or imipenem in terms of clinical results (13). In the study of Rodriguez-Hernandez et al., which was carried out on 150 A. baumannii isolates, it was indicated that sulbactam could be a good treatment option for multi resistant A. baumannii infections (6). They tested ampicillin, piperacillin, ticarcillin, and beta lactamase inhibitors, such as clavulanic acid, sulbactam, and tazobactam, alone or in combination. It was emphasized that beta lactamase inhibitors, with the exception of sulbactam, had no effect and ampicillin sulbactam was the most effective combination.

Corbella et al. applied intravenous treatment of sulbactam to 18 patients and intravenous treatment of ampicillin sulbactam to 24 patients out of 42 patients in which multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter was isolated. They found that the effect of ampicillin-sulbactam combination is derived by sulbactam and this combination does not produce a synergistic effect (14). Furthermore, in an experimental model of pneumonia in which sulbactam sensitive A. baumannii was used, sulbactam was found as effective as imipenem (6, 15). In the current study, we found sulbactam susceptibility in a lower ratio, discordant from the literature. The sensitivity ratio of sulbactam in vitro was found to be 36.6% in all Acinetobacter isolates and 34.6% in carbapenem resistant isolates. This ratio was determined when the MIC value of sulbactam
was accepted as sensitive if it was ≤ 4 μg/mL. If the MIC value ≤ 8 μg/mL was accepted as sensitive, the sensitivity ratio would be 73.3%. Furthermore, the low sulbactam susceptibility of *Acinetobacter* isolates in the current study may be due to selecting clones different from each other and that the isolates were multidrug resistant.

Although the carbapenems are the most effective antibiotics for the *Acinetobacter* species, recently in studies from Turkey and worldwide, it was reported that *Acinetobacter* isolates resistant to carbapenem were isolated with an increasing frequency (2, 16-21). There are studies from Turkey demonstrating that there is an increasing resistance to imipenem (50-84%) and meropenem (63-80.3%) (18-21). In the current study, there was 86.6% resistance to meropenem and 3.3% of the isolates were intermediate. The high levels of carbapenem resistance may be due to the multidrug resistance of the isolates and the prolonged duration of empirical treatment with carbapenem at our studied hospital.

This study is the first study from Turkey where the MIC value of sulbactam in *Acinetobacter* was determined alone in vitro. Further clinical studies are needed to determine the efficacy of sulbactam on *A. baumannii*. In the current study, the MIC value of sulbactam ≤ 4 μg/mL was accepted as susceptible, the value of 8 μg/mL was accepted as intermediate and the value of ≥ 16 μg/mL was accepted as resistant. If we had determined the cut-off MIC value two folds higher it would have considerably affected the susceptibility results. The number of carbapenem resistant *Acinetobacter* species is increasing gradually. Therefore, it is important to determine the resistance profile for hospital settings in order to choose the proper antibiotic for empirical treatment.
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